

**Clinical Trials Management Systems Workspace  
Face-to-Face Meeting**

**Oregon Health & Science University**

**SESSION: CTMS SIG Plenary—Objectives and Structure of the caBIG™ Clinical Trials Management Systems Workspace**

Session Information	Date: May 30, 2007 Time: 11:00 a.m.–11:30 a.m. PDT Presenter/Lead: John Speakman Facilitator: Meg Gronvall Scribe: Daniela Smith								
Executive Summary	The session began with a presentation on the “Objectives and Structure of the Clinical Trials Management Systems Workspace.” The reorganization of the Workspace was reviewed as well as recent progress, including Task Force Request for Information (RFI) responses and plans to convene the second CTMS Steering Committee Meeting on August 2, 2007. Participants reviewed the objectives of the Workspace and of the current meeting and were introduced to the Privacy/Intellectual Capital Terms & Conditions Decision Tree from the Data Sharing and Intellectual Capital (DSIC) Workspace, which would be used in subsequent sessions. Participants also discussed issues and considerations regarding intellectual property and security policies.								
Discussion	<p><b>Key Decision Points/Questions</b></p> <p>The CTMS Workspace structure has been implemented, and the last piece of the reorganization of the Workspace will be the assignment of individuals to Task Forces. This assignment should be complete by September 2007.</p> <p>The follow subjects regarding Security Working Group policies and procedures were discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Authentication technology was discussed, including the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) and the use of security tokens.</li><li>• The caBIG™ program seeks to achieve complete semantic interoperability among multiple grids; however not all communities have evolved to the same level of vocabulary use.</li><li>• There is a concern about jeopardizing scientific discovery and progress by releasing information too soon.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– This topic has been raised in DSIC and has been included in the DSIC Decision Tree.</li><li>– Releasing information over the Grid too soon may be a somewhat less codifiable activity.</li><li>– Multi-disciplinary discussion is needed to expose real underlying issues.</li></ul></li><li>• The value of intellectual capital is a fundamental issue in terms of data sharing. In combining the results of multiple trials, metadata should be published with study data to allow additional analysis and provide context.</li></ul>								
Requirements	<table><tr><th>Req. #</th><th>Name</th><th>Description</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>			Req. #	Name	Description			
Req. #	Name	Description							

<b>Issues</b>		
	<b>Issue ID</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Action Items</b>		
	<b>Assigned To</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Attendance</b>		
	All Meeting Day One attendees were present for this session.	